

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Keighley Constituency Volume Chapter 3 Principal Policies

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD – SD/K/PP/1</p> <p>UDP – Keighley Principal Polices, Para 3.0</p> <p>IR – Keighley, Pages 1-8</p>	<p>I recommend that the RUDP be modified by deleting all references to Silsden in section 3.0 of the Keighley Proposals, and replacing them by a paragraph which sets out Silsden's existing role as a commuter settlement with some employment and services, its position in the RPG hierarchy, and its future role as a location for only limited development to meet local needs.</p>	<p>Decision : Accept in part</p> <p>Reasons :</p> <p>Having broadly accepted the Inspector's conclusions regarding the starting point of the Plan, it follows that the role of the main urban area as set out in 3.46 is also accepted. This will lead to a modification of the Council's own motion to recognise the role of the main urban area on the face of the Plan. The Council also accepts the tests to be applied when defining other urban areas set out in paragraph 3.47 of the Inspector's report which are drawn from paragraph 4.8 of RPG12 and the approach the Inspector takes in using comparative accessibility for the third test in paragraph 3.48 of his report</p> <p>Therefore in considering the role of towns in the settlement hierarchy the Council agrees with the Inspector's view that Silsden should not be categorised as an urban area. However because of the status and function of Silsden it does not sit readily elsewhere in the hierarchy described in policy P1 of RPG12. The Council accepts the Inspector's conclusion that it does not score well in terms of current accessibility by public transport yet it has a good range of services (except for the absence of a secondary school) and has a much more substantial employment base than any other smaller settlement in the District. At present, until the RSS re-examines the role and function of settlements, Silsden should be regarded as a less well located smaller settlement though when compared to the other settlements in this category it offers a much broader range of services and is better served by public transport.</p>	<p>Mod/K/P P/1</p>
<p>SD - SD/K/PP/2</p> <p>UDP - UDP SOM/K/GB1/320</p> <p>Site – Green Belt at Silsden</p> <p>IR – Keighley, Page 221</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP additional to those affecting specific objections sites in Silsden.</p>	<p>Decision : Accepted.</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.</p>	